Grenoble|INP||

Information Access and Retrieval (GBX9MO23)

# Course 4: Probabilistic IR <br> http://gbx9mo23.imag.fr/ 

Philippe Mulhem ©ns
Jean-Pierre Chevallet
(with some data from Eric Gaussier) Team MRIM-LIG

## Outline

$\star$ 1. Introduction
$\star$ 2. Binary Independent Model
3. Inference Networks
$\star$ 4. Language Models
5. Conclusion

## 1. Introduction

- Challenge of Information Retrieval:
- Content base access to documents that satisfy a users information need



## 1. Introduction



## 1. Introduction

## - Probabilistic IR Models

- To capture the IR problem in a probabilistic framework

First "classical" probabilistic model (Binary Independent Retrieval Model) by Robertson and Spark-Jones in 1976, leading to BM25 [Robertson \& Spärk-Jones]

- Late 80 s, Inference Networks [Tutle \& Croft]
. Late 90s, emergence of language models, still hot topic in IR [Croff][Hiemstra][Nie]
- Question: " what is the probability for a document to be relevant to a query?"
- several interpretation of this sentence


## 1. Introduction

- Probabilistic Model of IR
- Different approaches of seeing a probabilistic approach for information retrieval
- Classical approach: probability to have the event Relevant knowing one document and one query.
- Inference Networks approach: probability that the query is true after inference from the content of a document.
- Language Models approach: probability that a query is generated from a document.


## 2. Binary Independant Retrieval Model

- [Robertson \& Spärk-Jones 1976]
- Computes the relevance of a document from the relevance known a priori from other documents.
- Estimated by using the Bayes Theorem and a decision rule
- Relies on training data


## 2. BIR

- R : binary random variable
$-\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{r}$ : relevant; $\mathrm{R}=\overline{\mathrm{r}}$ : non relevant
$-P(R=r \mid d, q)$ : probability that $R$ is $r$ for the document $d$ and the query q considered $(P(R=r \mid d, q)$ is noted $P(r \mid d, q))$
- depends only on document and query
- Each term $t$ of $d$ is characterized by a a binary variable $w^{d}$, indicating the occurrence of the term
- i.e., term weights are binary $\left(\mathrm{d}=(11 \ldots 100 \ldots), \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{d}}=0\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{d}}=1\right)$
$-P\left(w_{t}^{d}=1 \mid q, r\right)$ : probability that $t$ occurs in a relevant doc $d$.

$$
\left.\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{d}}=0 \mid \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{r}\right)=1-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}}=1 \mid \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{r}\right)\right)
$$

- The terms $t$ are conditionaly independant to $R$


## 2. BIR

- For a query q
with
Corpus $=$ rel $\cup$ nonrel
rel $\cap$ nonrel $=\varnothing$
$\square P(r \mid d, q) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Probability for the document } \mathrm{d} \\ & \text { to be in the set of relevant } \\ & \text { documents rel for } \mathrm{q}\end{aligned}$


## 2. BIR

- Matching function :
- Use of Bayes theorem



## 2. BIR

## Matching function

- Decision rule: document d retrieved if

$$
\frac{P(r \mid d, q)}{P(\bar{r} \mid d, q)}=\frac{P(d \mid r, q) \cdot P(r, q)}{P(d \mid \bar{r}, q) \cdot P(\bar{r}, q)}>1
$$

- IR looks for a ranking: we eliminate $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{q}) / \mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{q})$ for a given query (constant)
- In IR, it is more convenient to use logs to compute relevance status value $r s v$ :

$$
r s v(d)=_{r a n k} \log \left(\frac{P(d \mid r, q)}{P(d \mid \stackrel{-}{r}, q)}\right)
$$

## 2. BIR

- Matching function
- Hypothesis of conditional independence between terms (Binary Independance) with weight $w^{d}{ }_{t}$ for term $t$ in $d$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(d \mid r, q)=P(d=(10 \ldots 110 \ldots) \mid r, q)=\prod_{w_{t}^{d}=1} P\left(w_{t}^{d}=1 \mid r, q\right) \cdot \prod_{w_{t}^{d}=0} P\left(w_{t}^{d}=0 \mid r, q\right) \\
& P(d \mid \bar{r}, q)=P(d=(10 \ldots 110 \ldots) \mid \bar{r}, q)=\prod_{w_{t}^{d}=1} P\left(w_{t}^{d}=1 \mid \bar{r}, q\right) \cdot \prod_{w_{t}^{d}=0} P\left(w_{t}^{d}=0 \mid \bar{r}, q\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. BIR

- Notations: $p_{t}=P\left(w_{t}=1 \mid r, q\right) \quad q_{t}=P\left(w_{t}=1 \mid \bar{r}, q\right)$
- Then: $\quad P\left(w_{t}=0 \mid r, q\right)=1-p_{t} \quad P\left(w_{t}=0 \mid \bar{r}, q\right)=1-q_{t}$
- So

$$
\begin{gathered}
r s v(d)==_{r a n k} \log \left(\frac{P(d \mid r, q)}{P(d \mid \bar{r}, q)}\right)=\log \left(\frac{\prod_{w_{t}^{t}=1}^{w_{t}} p_{t} \cdot \prod_{w_{t}^{t}=0} 1-p_{t}}{\prod_{w_{t}^{t}=1} q_{t} \cdot \prod_{w_{t}^{t}=0} 1-q_{t}}\right)=\log \left(\prod_{w_{t}^{t}=1} \frac{p_{t}}{q_{t}} \times \prod_{w_{t}^{t}=0} \frac{1-p_{t}}{1-q_{t}}\right) \\
r s v(d \mid r, q)=r_{r a n k} \log \left(\prod_{w_{t}^{t}=1} \frac{p_{t}}{q_{t}}\right)+\log \left(\prod_{w_{t}^{t}=0} \frac{1-p_{t}}{1-q_{t}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## 2. BIR

- Hypothesis: $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{t}}$ for the terms t in the document and absent in the query, assuming no impact on the relevance of $d$ for $q$

$$
r s v(d \mid r, q)=_{r a n k} \log \left(\prod_{t \in D \cap Q} \frac{p_{t}}{q_{t}}\right)+\log \left(\prod_{t \in Q \backslash D} \frac{1-p_{t}}{1-q_{t}}\right)
$$

## 2. BIR

## - Enforce "inverted files compatibility"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r s v(d \mid r, q)=_{r a n k} \log \left(\prod_{t \in D \cap Q} \frac{p_{t}}{q_{t}}\right)+\log \left(\prod_{t \in Q \backslash D} \frac{1-p_{t}}{1-q_{t}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =_{\text {rank }} \log \left(\prod_{t \in D \cap Q} \frac{p_{t}}{q_{t}}\right)+\log \left(\prod_{t \in D \cap Q} \frac{1-q_{t}}{1-p_{t}}\right)+\log \left(\prod_{t \in Q D D} \frac{1-p_{t}}{1-q_{t}}\right)+\log \left(\prod_{t \in D \cap Q} \frac{1-p_{t}}{1-q_{t}}\right) \\
& =\log \left(\prod_{t \in D \cap Q} \frac{p_{t}\left(1-q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}\left(1-p_{t}\right)}\right)-\log \left(\prod_{t \in Q}^{1-q_{t}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally $\ldots \quad r s v(d \mid r, q)==_{\text {rawk }} \log \left(\prod_{t \in D \cap Q} \frac{p_{t}\left(1-q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}\left(1-p_{t}\right)}\right)$

## 2. BIR

- Or:

$$
r s v(d \mid r, q)=_{r a n k} \sum_{t \in D \cap Q} \log \left(\frac{p_{t}\left(1-q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}\left(1-p_{t}\right)}\right)=\sum_{t \in D \cap Q} \log \left(\frac{p_{t}}{\left(1-p_{t}\right)} \cdot \frac{\left(1-q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}}\right)=\sum_{t \in D \cap Q} \log \left(\frac{\frac{p_{t}}{\frac{1-p_{t}}{q_{t}}}}{1-q_{t}}\right)
$$

- Question: how to estimate $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{t}}$ ?


## 2. BIR

- Estimation of $p_{t}$ and $q_{t}$ on a set of resolved queries
- (queries for which we know the answers on the corpus)

|  | Relevant | Non Relevant | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| term $t$ present | $r_{t}$ | $n_{t}-r_{t}$ | $n_{t}$ |
| term $t$ absent | $R_{t}-r_{t}$ | $N-n_{t}-\left(R_{t}-r_{t}\right)$ | $N-n_{t}$ |
| Total | $R_{t}$ | $N-R_{t}$ | $N$ |

- With
- $r_{t}$ : number of relevant documents for $q$ containing the term $t$
- $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}$ : number of relevant documents for q that contains t
- N : number of documents in the corpus
- $n_{t}-r_{t}$ : number of non relevant documents containing $t$


## 2. BIR

- Estimation of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{t}}$ on a set of resolved queries

|  | Relevant | Non Relevant | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| term t present | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}$ |
| term t absent | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}-\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}$ |
| Total | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | N |

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{t}=\frac{r_{t}}{R_{t}} & 1-p_{t}=\frac{R_{t}-r_{t}}{R_{t}} \\
q_{t}=\frac{n_{t}-r_{t}}{N-R_{t}} & 1-q_{t}=\frac{N-R_{t}-n_{t}+r_{t}}{N-R_{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. BIR

- Global formula

$$
r s v(D)=_{r a n k} \sum_{t \in D \cap Q} \log \left(\frac{\frac{r_{t} / R_{t}}{\left(R_{t}-r_{t}\right) / R_{t}}}{\frac{\left(n_{t}-r_{t}\right) /\left(N-R_{t}\right)}{\left(N-R_{t}-n_{t}+r_{t}\right) /\left(N-R_{t}\right)}}\right)=\sum_{t \in D \cap Q} \log \left(\frac{\frac{r_{t}}{R_{t}-r_{t}}}{\frac{n_{t}-r_{t}}{N-R_{t}-n_{t}+r_{t}}}\right)
$$

- Modified to avoid problems with 0s:

$$
r s v(D)=r_{\text {rank }} \sum_{t \in D \cap Q} \log \left(\frac{\frac{r_{t}+0.5}{R_{t}-r_{t}+0.5}}{\frac{n_{t}-r_{t}+0.5}{N-R_{t}-n_{t}+r_{t}+0.5}}\right)
$$

## 2. BIR

- Problem of initial probabilities
- For terms not in the resolved queries?
- Basic model binary and independent


## 2. BIR

- Extension to weighted terms (queries and docs)
- Best Match [Robertson 1994]: BM25

$$
r s v_{B M 25}(d \mid r, q)=_{r a n k} \sum_{t \in d \cap q} \frac{\log \left(\frac{N-n_{t}+0.5}{n_{t}+0.5}\right)}{\sim \sim \operatorname{idf}} \cdot \frac{\left(k_{1}+1\right) w_{t}^{d}}{k_{1}\left((1-b)+b \cdot \frac{d l}{a v d l}\right)+w_{t}^{d}} \cdot \frac{\left(k_{3}+1\right) \cdot w_{t}^{q}}{k_{3}+w_{t}^{q}}
$$

Common values:
$\mathrm{k}_{1}$ in [1,2]
$\mathrm{b}=0.75$
$\mathrm{k}_{3}$ in $[0,1000]$
State of the art results

## 3. Inference Networks IR Models

- [Turtle \& Croft 1996]
- Inspired from Bayesian Belief Networks in Artificial Intelligence
- Idea: Compute the probability to obtain a query using documents : combination of evidences $\quad P($ Doc $\rightarrow$ Query $)$
- Inference Network
- Nodes: random variables
- Links: dependencies
- Direct Acyclic Graph


## 3. Inference Networks IR Models

Example:
Uncertain inference

$$
X=\text { true } \equiv x \quad X=\text { false } \equiv \bar{x}
$$


$P(d)=P(d / b, c) \cdot P(b) \cdot P(c)+P(d / \bar{b}, c) \cdot P(\bar{b}) \cdot P(c)$ $+P(d / b, \bar{c}) \cdot P(b) \cdot P(\bar{c})+P(d / \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \cdot P(\bar{b}) \cdot P(\bar{c})$
$P(b)=P(b / a) \cdot P(a)+P(b / \bar{a}) \cdot p(\bar{a}) \quad P(\bar{b})=P(\bar{b} / a) \cdot p(a)+P(\bar{b} / \bar{a}) \cdot p(\bar{a})$

## 3. Inference Networks IR Models

- In IR:
- Binary nodes
- Example
- Inference


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{prob}(d \rightarrow q)=\operatorname{prob}(q) \\
& =\operatorname{prob}\left(q / q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \cdot p\left(q_{1}\right) \cdot p\left(q_{2}\right)+\operatorname{prob}\left(q / \overline{q_{1}}, q_{2}\right) \cdot p\left(\overline{q_{1}}\right) \cdot p\left(q_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\operatorname{prob}\left(q / q_{1}, \overline{q_{2}}\right) \cdot p\left(q_{1}\right) \cdot p\left(\overline{q_{2}}\right)+\operatorname{prob}\left(q / \overline{q_{1}}, q_{2}\right) \cdot p\left(\overline{q_{1}}\right) \cdot p\left(\overline{q_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Inference Networks IR Models

- Use in IR
- Example:
$-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})=1 / \mid$ Corpus $\mid$
$-P\left(t_{i} / D\right)=\mathrm{tf}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{D}} . \mathrm{idf}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad$ if node from D , and $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=0$ othewise
$-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=1 \quad$ if link, and $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=0$ othewise
- Operators for the $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ with \#and, \#or, ...
$-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=1$


## 3. Inference Networks IR Models

- More a framework for IR than a theoretical model.
- Problem of initial probabilities not solved (in fact tf.idf...)
- System: Inquery


## 4. Language Models of IR

- Probability that a document generates the query
- Consider two dices d 1 and d 2 so that :
- for d1 $P(1)=P(3)=P(5)=\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon \quad P(2)=P(4)=P(6)=\varepsilon$
- for $\mathrm{d} 2 \quad P(1)=P(3)=P(5)=\varepsilon \quad P(2)=P(4)=P(6)=\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon$
- Suppose we observe the sequence $\mathrm{Q}=\{1,3,3,2\}$.
- What dice, d 1 or d 2 , is likely to have generated this sequence?


## 4. Language Models of IR

$P(Q \mid d 1)=\left(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon\right)^{3} \cdot \varepsilon$
if $\varepsilon=0.01$
$P(Q \mid d 1)=3.38 E-4$
$P(Q \mid d 2)=\left(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{3}$
$P(Q \mid d 2)=2.99 E-6$

## 4. Language Models of IR

- In IR
- the documents are the dices, we will represent documents as "documents models"
- the query is the sequence


## 4. Language Models of IR

- Comes from speech understanding theory
- Idea : Use of statistical techniques to estimate both document models and the matching score of document for a query
- Document model?
- A document is a «bag of terms »
- A language model of a document is a probability function of its terms. The terms being part of the indexing vocabulary.


## 4. Language Models of IR

- Models
- Probability P of occurrence of a word or a word sequence in one language
- Consider a sequence $s$ composed of words : $\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}_{1}$.
- The probability $P(s)$ may be computed by

$$
P(s)=\prod_{i=1}^{l} P\left(m_{i} \mid m_{1} \ldots m_{i-1} m_{1} \ldots m_{i-1}\right)
$$

- For complexity reasons, we simplify by considering only the $\mathrm{n}-1$ preceding words of a word (ngram model)

$$
P(m_{i} \mid m_{1} \ldots \underbrace{\ldots m_{i-1}}_{\sim})=P(m_{i} \mid \underbrace{m_{i-n+1} \ldots m_{i-1}})
$$

## 4. Language Models of IR

- Models
- Unigram $\quad P(s)=\prod_{i=1}^{1} P\left(m_{i}\right)$
- Bigram

$$
P(s)=\prod_{i=1}^{l} P\left(m_{i} \mid m_{i-1}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{P\left(m_{i-1} m_{i}\right)}{P\left(m_{i-1}\right)}
$$

- Trigram $P(s)=\prod_{i=1}^{l} P\left(m_{i} \mid m_{i-2} m_{i-1}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{P\left(m_{i-2} m_{i-1} m_{i}\right)}{P\left(m_{i-2} m_{i-1}\right)}$
- In IR, most approaches use unigrams


## 4. Language Models of IR

- Basic idea :

$$
P(R=r \mid d, q)=P\left(q \mid \theta_{d}, R=r\right) \quad \text { noted } \quad P\left(q \mid \theta_{d}\right)
$$

meaning: what is the probability that a user, who finds the document d relevant, should use the query $q$ (to retrieved) ?

Question: how to estimate $\theta_{d}$ ?

## 4. Language Models of IR

- Several probability laws may be used for $\theta_{d}$
- Multinomial distribution
- example : one urn with several marbles of $c$ colors, several marbles of each color may appear. A sequence of colors (marble picked and put back) is modelled by a multinomial law of probability:

$$
\text { ex.: } \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{c} 2, \mathrm{c} 2)=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{c} 1)^{*} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{c} 2)^{*} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{c} 2)
$$

- with $\quad \sum_{c} p(c)=1$
- Multinomial distribution for documents [Song and Fei]:
- we compute the probability that the query terms get selected from the document
- each word occurrence is independant
- with V the vocabulary: $\sum_{t \in V} p\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right)=1$
$P\left(q \mid \theta_{d}\right)=\frac{|q|!}{\prod_{t \in V}\left(\left|w_{t}^{q}\right|!\right)} \prod_{t \in V} p\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right)^{w_{t}^{q}} \propto \prod_{t \in V} p\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right)^{w_{t}^{q}}$


## 4. Language Models of IR

- Several probability laws may be used for $\theta_{d}$ - Multiple Bernoulli
- define a binary random variable $X_{t}$ for each term $t$ that indicates whether the term is present $\left(X_{t}=1\right)$ or absent $\left(X_{t}=0\right)$ in the query.
- each word is considered independant
- we have for each t: $p\left(X_{t}=1 \mid \theta_{d}\right)+p\left(X_{t}=0 \mid \theta_{d}\right)=1$
- the parameters are: $\theta_{d}=\left\{p\left(X_{t}=1 \mid \theta_{d}\right)\right\}_{t \in V}$

$$
p\left(q \mid \theta_{d}\right)=\prod_{t \in q} P\left(X_{t}=1 \mid \theta_{d}\right) \prod_{t \notin q}\left(1-P\left(X_{t}=1 \mid \theta_{d}\right)\right)
$$

## 4. Language Models of IR

- We focus here on the Multinomial model (good results and more used in litterature)
- How to estimate the parameters of the model?
- A simple solution: use the Maximum Likelihood estimate (MLE) to fit the statistical model to the data: We look for the $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{t} \mid \theta_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ that maximize the probability to observe the document.

$$
P_{M L}\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right)=\frac{w_{d}^{t}}{\sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}}=\frac{w_{d}^{t}}{|d|}
$$

$$
\text { with } w_{d}^{t} \text { the count of } t \text { in } d
$$

respects the "multinomial constraint" : $\sum_{t \in V} P_{M L}\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right)=\frac{\sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}}{|d|}=\frac{|d|}{|d|}=1$

## 4. Language Models of IR

- Is it done, so? Not really... consider
- a vocabulary V=\{"day", "night", "sky"\}
- a document d so that $\theta_{d}=\left\{p_{M L}\left(\right.\right.$ day $\left.\mid \theta_{d}\right)=0.67, p_{M L}($ night $\mid$ $\left.\left.\theta_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=0.33, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ML}}\left(\operatorname{sky} \mid \theta_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=0\right\}$
- a query $q=$ "day sky"
- then: $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{q} \mid \theta_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \propto \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ML}}\left(\operatorname{day} \mid \theta_{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{1 *} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ML}}\left(\operatorname{sky} \mid \theta_{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =0.67 * 0 \\
& =0 \quad \ldots!
\end{aligned}
$$

even if the $d$ matches partially the query $\rightarrow$ not good for IR !

## 4. Language Models of IR

- This problems comes from the fact that we used only the document source to model the probability distribution, and the document is not large enough to really contain all the needed data to estimate accurately the probabilities
$\rightarrow \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ML}}$ is not sufficient for the language model of documents.
- Solution: to integrate data from a larger set
- the collection of documents


## 4. Language Models of IR

- Intergration through probability smoothing
- we smooth the $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ML}}$ by a probability coming from the corpus
- the probability coming from the corpus is defined as

$$
P(t \mid C)=\frac{\sum_{d \in C} w_{d}^{t}}{\sum_{d \in C} \sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}}=\frac{\sum_{d \in C} w_{d}^{t}}{\sum_{d \in C}|d|}
$$

- Several smoothings exist, corresponding to several ways to manage the integration between the data from the documents and the corpus


## 4. Language Models of IR

- Jelinek-Mercer smoothing
- fixed coefficient interpolation

$$
P_{\lambda}\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)=(1-\lambda) \cdot P_{M L}\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right)+\lambda \cdot P(t \mid C)
$$

- one $\lambda$ in $[0,1]$ for all the documents
- when $\lambda=0, \mathrm{P}_{\lambda}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ML}}$ (useless for IR, see before)
- when $\lambda=1, \mathrm{P}_{\lambda}=\lambda . \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t} \mid \mathrm{C})$ : all document models are the same as the collection model. (useless)
- Optimization of $\lambda$ on one test collection ( $\lambda \approx 0.15$ )
- simple to compute, good results


## 4. Language Models of IR

- Implementation formula for one query q :
$\log \left(P_{\lambda}\left(q \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)\right) \propto \sum_{t \in q \cap a} \frac{w_{q}^{t}}{|q|} \cdot \log \left(\frac{(1-\lambda)}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{w_{d}^{t}}{|d|} \cdot \frac{\sum_{d \epsilon C} w_{d}^{t}}{\sum_{d \epsilon C}|d|}+1\right)$
compatible with inverted files


## 4. Language Models of IR

- Jelinek-Mercer smoothing guaranties the contraint related to multinomial distribution $\sum_{\varepsilon \epsilon v} p_{\lambda}\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)=1$ ?
- We have: $p_{\lambda}\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)=(1-\lambda) \frac{w_{d}^{t}}{\sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}}+\lambda \frac{\sum_{d \in C} w_{d}^{t}}{\sum_{d \in C} \sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}}$
- So:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t \in V} p_{\lambda}^{\lambda}\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right) & =(1-\lambda) \frac{\sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}}{\sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}}+\lambda \frac{\sum_{t \in V} \sum_{d \in C} w_{d}^{t}}{\sum_{d \in C} \sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}} \\
& =(1-\lambda)+\lambda \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Language Models of IR

- Dirichlet smoothing
- interpolation dependant of each document, with one parameter $\mu$
- considers that the corpus adds pseudo occurrences of terms (non integer), the same pseudo-occurrences for one term for all documents:

$$
P_{\mu}\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)=\frac{w_{d}^{t}+\mu P(t \mid C)}{|d|+\mu}
$$

## 4. Language Models of IR

- Dirichlet smoothing
- do we still get multinomial distributions?

$$
P_{\mu}\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)=\frac{w_{d}^{t}+\mu P(t \mid C)}{\sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}+\mu}
$$

- Yes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t \in V} P_{\mu}\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right) & =\frac{1}{\sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}+\mu} \cdot \sum_{t \in V}\left(w_{d}^{t}+\mu P(t \mid C)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}+\mu} \cdot\left(\sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}+\mu \sum_{t \in V} P(t \mid C)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}+\mu} \cdot\left(\sum_{t \in V} w_{d}^{t}+\mu\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Language Models of IR

- Dirichlet smoothing
- relationship with Jelinek-Mercer smoothing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\mu}\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)=\frac{w_{d}^{t}+\mu P(t \mid C)}{|d|+\mu}=\frac{|d|}{|d|+\mu} \cdot \frac{w_{d}^{t}}{|d|}+\frac{\mu}{|d|+\mu} P(t \mid C) \\
&=\frac{|d|}{|d|+\mu} \cdot P_{M L}\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right)+\underset{\approx \lambda}{\frac{\mu}{|d|+\mu}} P(t \mid C) \\
& \approx \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

- long documents have less smoothing (because more data)
- Dirichlet smoothing: very good results (values around 1500 or greater).


## 4. Language Models of IR

- Why smoothing is important?
- In fact, smoothing makes a link with IDF [Lafferty \& Zhai 2001]
- consider that a general smoothing is of the form

$$
P_{\mu}\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
p_{s}\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right) & \text { if } \mathrm{t} \text { in document } \mathrm{d} \\
\alpha_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t} \mid \mathrm{C}) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

| method | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{w} \mid \theta_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ | $\alpha_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Parameter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jelinek- <br> Mercer | $(1-\lambda) \cdot P_{M L}\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right)+\lambda . P(t \mid C)$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ |
| Dirichlet | $\frac{w_{d}^{t}+\mu P(t \mid C)}{\sum_{t \in \nu} w_{d}^{t}+\mu}$ | $\frac{\mu}{\sum_{t \in V}^{w_{d}^{t}+\mu}}$ | $\mu$ |
| ${ }_{48}$ |  |  |  |

## 4. Language Models of IR

- Why smoothing is important?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log P\left(q \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)={ }_{r a n k} \sum_{t \in V} w_{t}^{q} \cdot \log p\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right) \\
&={ }_{r a n k} \sum_{t \in d} w_{t}^{q} \cdot \log p_{s}\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right)+\sum_{t \neq d} w_{t}^{q} \cdot \log \alpha_{d} p(t \mid C) \\
&=r_{r a n k} \sum_{t \in d} w_{t}^{q} \cdot \log p_{s}\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right)+\sum_{t \in V} w_{t}^{q} \cdot \log \alpha_{d} p(t \mid C)-\sum_{t \in d} w_{t}^{q} \cdot \log \alpha_{d} p(t \mid C) \\
&={ }_{r a n k} \sum_{t \in d} w_{t}^{q} \cdot \log \frac{p_{s}\left(t \mid \theta_{d}\right)}{\alpha_{d} p(t \mid C)}+\sum_{t \in V} w_{t}^{q} \cdot \log \alpha_{d}+\sum_{t \in V} w^{q} \cdot \log p(t \mid C) \\
& \text { "similar" to TF.IDF }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Language Models of IR

- Generalization of the original matching function, negative Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$
-K L\left(\theta_{q} \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)=-\sum_{r=V} P\left(t \mid \theta_{q}\right) \log \frac{P\left(t \mid \theta_{q}\right)}{P\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)}
$$

- KL divergence compares two probabilities distributions (relative entropy: how to code one distribution with another one)


## 4. Language Models of IR

- KL divergence on multinomial distributions of query and document and MLE similar to original matching:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -K L\left(\theta_{q} \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)=-\sum_{r e r} P\left(t \mid \theta_{q}\right) \log \frac{P\left(t \mid \theta_{q}\right)}{P\left(t \mid \hat{\theta_{d}}\right)} \\
& =-\sum_{r \in V} \frac{w_{t}^{q}}{|q|} \log P\left(\left.t\right|_{q}\right)+\sum_{t \in V} \frac{w_{i}^{q}}{|q|} \log P\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right) \\
& ==_{r a n k} \sum_{l \in V} w_{t}^{4} \log P\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right) \\
& ={ }_{\text {ravk }} \log \prod_{t \in V} P\left(t \mid \hat{\theta}_{t}\right)^{m ?} \\
& =_{\text {rank }} P\left(q \mid \hat{\theta}_{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Language Models of IR

- The KL divergence considers by definition comparison of distributions, which seems closer to the usual meaning of matching in IR.
- KL is implemented as Language Model matching in Terrier and Lemur.


## 5. Conclusion

- Language models are state of the art IR
- Multinomial
- Dirichlet smoothing
- Strong fundamentals, links to heuristics in IR (TF, IDF)
- Many extentions
- cluster-based smoothing
- other probability models (Poisson)
- other smoothings
- LM state of the art, competing with BM 25.
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- Use in IR - Model of Hiemstra
- Idea: $\operatorname{Score}(D, Q)=P(D / Q)=P\left(D / t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{n}\right) \quad$ with $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}$

$$
=P(D) \frac{P\left(t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{n} / D\right)}{P\left(t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{n}\right)}
$$

- Hypotheses :
- Independent query terms
- Notation: $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=1 / \mathrm{c}$
- We obtain: $\operatorname{Score}(D, Q)=c P(D) \prod_{t_{i} \in Q} P\left(t_{i} / D\right)$
$\quad$ - We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(D)=\frac{|D|}{|C|} \quad: \text { Probability of the document } \\
& P\left(t_{i} / D\right)=\alpha_{1} \cdot P_{M L}\left(t_{i} / D\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot P_{M L}\left(t_{i} / C\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

: Probability of a term knowing a document

- Use in IR - Model of Hiemstra
- Expansion of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{D}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(t_{i} / D\right) & =\alpha_{1} \cdot \frac{t f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} t f(t)}+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \frac{d f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} d f(t)} \\
& =\left(\alpha_{1} \cdot \frac{t f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} t f(t)} \cdot \frac{\sum_{t} d f(t)}{\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot d f\left(t_{i}\right)}+1\right) \cdot\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \frac{d f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} d f(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- So
$\operatorname{Score}(D, Q)=c \cdot \frac{|D|}{|C|} \cdot \prod_{t_{i} \in Q}\left(\left(\alpha_{1} \cdot \frac{t f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} t f(t)} \cdot \frac{\sum_{t} d f(t)}{\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot d f\left(t_{i}\right)}+1\right) \cdot\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \frac{d f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} d f(t)}\right)$


## - Used in IR - Model of Hiemstra

- We use logs

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Score}(D, Q) & =c \cdot \frac{|D|}{|C|} \cdot \prod_{t_{i} \in Q}\left(\left(\alpha_{1} \cdot \frac{t f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} t f(t)} \cdot \frac{\sum_{t} d f(t)}{\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot d f\left(t_{i}\right)}+1\right) \cdot\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \frac{d f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} d f(t)}\right) \\
\log -\operatorname{Score}(D, Q) & =\log \left(c \cdot \frac{|D|}{|C|} \cdot \prod_{t_{i} \in Q}\left(\left(\alpha_{1} \cdot \frac{t f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} t f(t)} \cdot \frac{\sum_{t} d f(t)}{\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot d f\left(t_{i}\right)}+1\right) \cdot\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \frac{d f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} d f(t)}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Constants elements for one query

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log -\operatorname{Score}(D, Q)=\log (c)+\log \left(\frac{|D|}{|C|}\right)+\sum \log \left(\alpha_{1} \cdot \frac{t f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} t f(t)} \cdot \frac{\sum_{t} d f(t)}{\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot d f\left(t_{i}\right)}+1\right)+\sum_{t_{i} \in Q} \log \left(\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \frac{d f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} d f(t)}\right) \\
- \text { So } \quad \log (c), \quad \log \left(\frac{|D|}{|C|}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{t_{i} \in Q} \log \left((1-\alpha) \frac{d f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i} d f(t)}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\log -\operatorname{Score}(D, Q) \propto \sum_{t_{i} \in Q} \log \left(\alpha_{1} \cdot \frac{t f\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{t} t f(t)} \cdot \frac{\sum_{t} d f(t)}{\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot d f\left(t_{i}\right)}+1\right)
$$

- Use in IR - Model of Hiemstra
- Typical value for $\alpha_{1}: 0.15$
- Defines a strong formal framework for IR
- Comparable results than the vector space model but possible extensions (example : good results on web pages)

